This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

O OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/866,502	05/25/2001	Frederick Robert Chang	SBC-0101	4455
7590 09/21/2004			EXAMINER	
Dale B. Halling			JACOBS, LASHONDA T	
Law Office of Dale B. Halling 24 South Weber St., Ste 311			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Colorado Springs, CO 80903			2157	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		_ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/866,502	CHANG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	LaShonda T Jacobs	2157
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the learned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS first tatute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	25 May 2001.	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all		· ·
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		•
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		he Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docur		
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	·	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	eived in this National Stage
application from the International Bu	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
* See_the_attached_detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified_copies not rece	eivea.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumn	nary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date () 0 7	B/08) 5) Notice of Infom 6) Other:	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Off	ice Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 09172004

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dutta et al (hereinafter, "Dutta", 2002/0073204) in view of Feigenbaum.

As per claim 1, Dutta discloses a method for improving the reliability of peer-to-peer network downloads, comprising:

- a) initiating a search from a client on a peer-to-peer network (abstract, paragraphs 0007, 0037 and 0044-0045);
- b) receiving a list of servers that satisfy the search (paragraph 0037); and
- c) selecting at least one of the servers from the list of servers (paragraphs 0037 and 0044-0045);

However, Dutta does not explicitly disclose:

- d) selecting one of a plurality of downloading systems based on a predetermined criteria; and
- e) downloading a file using one of the plurality of downloading systems.

In an analogous art, Feigenbaum discloses a multi-server file download including:

d) selecting one of a plurality of downloading systems based on a predetermined criteria (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9); and

Art Unit: 2157

e) downloading a file using one of the plurality of downloading systems (col. 3, lines 16-38).

Given the teaching of Feigenbaum, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dutta by including a plurality of downloading systems in order to allow a client to download different portions of a file in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim 2, Dutta discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Dutta does not explicitly disclose wherein step (d) further includes the step of:

d1) selecting a multiple concurrent download system.

In an analogous art, Feigenbaum discloses a multi-server file download including:

d1) selecting a multiple concurrent download system (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and

col. 4, lines 1-9).

Given the teaching of Feigenbaum, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dutta by including a plurality of downloading systems in order to allow a client to download different portions of a file in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim 3, Dutta discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Dutta does not explicitly disclose wherein step (d) further includes the step of:

d1) selecting a multiple concatenated download system.

In an analogous art, Feigenbaum discloses a multi-server file download including:

Art Unit: 2157

d1) selecting a multiple concatenated download system (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9).

Given the teaching of Feigenbaum, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dutta by including a plurality of downloading systems in order to allow a client to download different portions of a file in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim 4, Dutta discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Dutta does not explicitly disclose wherein step (d) further includes the step of:

d1) selecting a serial concatenated download system.

In an analogous art, Feigenbaum discloses a multi-server file download including:

d1) selecting a serial concatenated download system (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and

col. 4, lines 1-9).

Given the teaching of Feigenbaum, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dutta by including a plurality of downloading systems in order to allow a client to download different portions of a file in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim 5, Dutta discloses wherein step (d) further includes the step of:

d1) determining a connection speed to the at least one of the servers (paragraphs 0035

and 0065).

As per claim 6, Dutta discloses wherein step (d) further includes the step of: d1) comparing a connection speed to the at least one of the servers to an available bandwidth (paragraphs 0035, 0045 and 0065).

As per claim 7, Dutta discloses wherein step (a) further includes the steps of: a1) entering a text string (paragraph 0037).

As per claim 8, Dutta discloses wherein step (a) further includes the step of: a1) entering a unique key (paragraph 0041).

As per claim 9, Dutta discloses wherein step (a) further includes the step of: a1) broadcasting a search query to the peer-to-peer network (paragraph 0037).

As per claim 10, Dutta discloses wherein step (a) further includes the step of: al) transmitting a search query to a central server (paragraphs 0036-0037).

As per claim 11, Dutta discloses wherein step (b) further includes the step of: b1) receiving a document name (paragraph 0045).

As per claim 12, Dutta discloses wherein step (b) further includes the step of: b1) receiving a file size (paragraph 0044).

Art Unit: 2157

As per claim 13, Dutta discloses wherein step (b) further includes the step of:

b1) receiving a source node for a file (paragraphs 0044-0045).

As per claim 14, Dutta discloses wherein step (b) further includes the step of:

b1) receiving an available bandwidth at a server (paragraph 0045).

As per claim 15, Dutta discloses a method of improving the reliability of peer-to-peer network downloads, comprising the steps of:

- a) originating a search from a client on a peer-to-peer network (abstract, paragraphs 0007, 0037 and 0044-0045);
 - b) broadcasting a search query over the peer-to-peer network (paragraph 0037);
 - c) receiving a list of servers and a list of associated document names that satisfy the search query (paragraph 0037);
- d) selecting at least one of the servers from the list of servers (paragraphs 0037 and 0044-0045);

However, Dutta does not explicitly disclose:

- e) determining one of a plurality of downloading systems based on a predetermined criteria; and
 - f) downloading a file.

In an analogous art, Feigenbaum discloses a multi-server file download including:

d) determining one of a plurality of downloading systems based on a predetermined criteria (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9); and

Art Unit: 2157

servers;

e) downloading a file (col. 3, lines 16-38).

Given the teaching of Feigenbaum, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dutta by including a plurality of downloading systems in order to allow a client to download different portions of a file in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim 16, Dutta discloses wherein step (a) further includes the step of:

a1) entering a unique key that identifies the file (paragraph 0041).

As per claim 17, Dutta discloses wherein step (c) further includes the step of:

c1) receiving a file size, a source node and a unique key (paragraphs 0041 and 0044).

As per claim 18, Dutta discloses wherein step (d) further includes the step of:

- d1) measuring a connection speed to a plurality of servers (paragraphs 0035 and 0065);
- d2) comparing the connection speed of the plurality of servers to an available bandwidth to the client (paragraphs 0035, 0045 and 0065).

As per claim 19, Dutta discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Dutta does not explicitly disclose wherein step (e) further includes the steps of:

e1) determining if an available bandwidth is less than a connection speed to two of the

Art Unit: 2157

e2) when the available bandwidth is less than the connection speed to two of the servers, selecting a serial concatenated download system.

In an analogous art, Feigenbaum discloses a multi-server file download including:

- e1) determining if an available bandwidth is less than a connection speed to two of the servers (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9); and
- e2) when the available bandwidth is less than the connection speed to two of the servers, selecting a serial concatenated download system (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9).

Given the teaching of Feigenbaum, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dutta by including a plurality of downloading systems allowing a client to select a download system in order to transfer different portions of a file in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim **20**, Dutta discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above. However. Dutta does not explicitly disclose the steps of:

e3) when the available bandwidth is not less than the connection speed to two of the servers, selecting a multiple concurrent download system.

In an analogous art, Feigenbaum discloses a multi-server file download including:

e3) when the available bandwidth is not less than the connection speed to two of the servers, selecting a multiple concurrent download system (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9).

Art Unit: 2157

Given the teaching of Feigenbaum, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dutta by including a plurality of downloading systems allowing a client to select a download system in order to transfer different portions of a file in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim 21, Dutta discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above. However. Dutta does not explicitly disclose the steps of:

e3) when the available bandwidth is not less than the connection speed to two of the servers, selecting a multiple concatenated download system.

In an analogous art, Feigenbaum discloses a multi-server file download including:

e3) when the available bandwidth is not less than the connection speed to two of the servers, selecting a multiple concatenated download system (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9).

Given the teaching of Feigenbaum, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dutta by including a plurality of downloading systems allowing a client to select a download system in order to transfer different portions of a file in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim 22, Dutta discloses wherein step (e2) further includes the steps of:
i) starting a download from one of the list of servers (paragraphs 0044-0045 and 0049).
However, Dutta does not explicitly disclose:

- ii) if the one of the list of servers is interrupted during the download, selecting a second of the list of server to start a download;
 - iii) requesting the download to start at a next byte after a last received byte.

In an analogous art, Feigenbaum discloses a multi-server file download including:

- ii) if the one of the list of servers is interrupted during the download, selecting a second of the list of server to start a download (col. 2, lines 52-65, col. 3, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9);
- iii) requesting the download to start at a next byte after a last received byte (col. 2, lines 52-65).

Given the teaching of Feigenbaum, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was to modify Dutta by including a start byte for the download allowing a client to download different portions of a file in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim 23, Dutta discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Dutta does not explicitly disclose wherein step (e3) further includes the steps of:

- i) starting a download from at least two of the servers;
- ii) if any of the at least two of the servers finishes the download, terminating the download for any other servers.

In an analogous art, Feigenbaum discloses a multi-server file download including:

i) starting a download from at least two of the servers (col. 2, lines 52-65, col. 3, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9);

Art Unit: 2157

ii) if any of the at least two of the servers finishes the download, terminating the download for any other servers (col. 2, lines 52-65, col. 3, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9).

Given the teaching of Feigenbaum, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was to modify Dutta by including a start byte for the download allowing a client to download different portions of a file in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim 24, Dutta discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Dutta does not explicitly disclose wherein step (e3) further includes the steps of:

- i) starting a first download at a first byte of the file for one of the at least two servers;
- ii) starting a second download at a second byte of the file for a second of the at least two servers;
- iii) determining when a complete file has been downloaded by combining the first download and the second download.

In an analogous art, Feigenbaum discloses a multi-server file download including:

- i) starting a first download at a first byte of the file for one of the at least two servers (col. 2, lines 52-65, col. 3, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9);
- ii) starting a second download at a second byte of the file for a second of the at least two servers (col. 2, lines 52-65, col. 3, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9); and
- iii) determining when a complete file has been downloaded by combining the first download and the second download (col. 2, lines 52-67).

Art Unit: 2157

Given the teaching of Feigenbaum, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was to modify Dutta by including a start byte for the download allowing a client to download different portions of a file in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim **25**, Dutta discloses a method of operating a peer-to-peer network comprising the steps of:

- a) initiating a search from a first peer to the peer-to-peer network (abstract, paragraphs 0007, 0037, and 0044-0045);
 - b) receiving a list of peer servers that meet a search query (paragraph 0037); and
- c) selecting one of a plurality of downloading systems based on a predetermined criteria (paragraphs 0037 and 0044-0045).

However, Dutta does not explicitly disclose:

d) downloading a file using the one of the plurality of downloading systems.

In an analogous art, Feigenbaum discloses a multi-server file download including:

d) downloading a file using the one of the plurality of downloading systems (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9).

Given the teaching of Feigenbaum, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dutta by including a plurality of downloading systems in order to allow a client to download different portions of file in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim 26, Dutta discloses wherein step (c) further includes the steps of:

- cl) determining a connection speed to each of the peer servers on the list of peer servers (paragraph 0035);
- c2) selecting a subset of the list of peer servers based on the connection speed (paragraph 0035).

As per claim 27, Dutta discloses wherein step (c1) further includes the step of:

i) receiving a test file from each of the servers on the list of servers (paragraph 0050).

As per claim 28, Dutta discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Dutta does not explicitly disclose wherein step (c1) further includes the step of:

i) determining an order of response receipt from each of the servers on the list of servers.

In an analogous art, Feigenbaum discloses a multi-server file download including:

i) determining an order of response receipt from each of the servers on the list of servers (col. 3, lines 42-52).

Given the teaching of Feigenbaum, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dutta by ranking servers according to their performance measurements in order to allow a client to download different portions of file in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim 29, Dutta discloses wherein step (c1) further includes the step of:

i) pinging each of the servers on the list of servers (paragraph 0046).

Page 14

Art Unit: 2157

of:

As per claim 30, Dutta discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Dutta does not explicitly disclose wherein the step (d) further includes the steps of:

- d1) when an available bandwidth is less than a two times a connection speed, selecting a server with a fastest connection speed; and
 - d2) starting a download from the server with the fastest connection speed.

In an analogous art, Feigenbaum discloses a multi-server file download including:

- d1) when an available bandwidth is less than a two times a connection speed, selecting a server with a fastest connection speed (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9); and
- d2) starting a download from the server with the fastest connection speed (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9).

Given the teaching of Feigenbaum, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dutta by including a plurality of downloading systems allowing a client to select a download system in order to transfer different portions of a file in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim 31, Dutta discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Dutta does not explicitly disclose wherein the step (d) further includes the steps

d3) determining if the server with the fastest connection speed had an error before the file was downloaded;

Art Unit: 2157

d4) when the server with the fastest connection speed had an error before the file was downloaded, selecting a second server;

- d5) determining a last byte received; and
- d6) transmitting download starting from a next byte command to a second server.

In an analogous art, Feigenbaum discloses a multi-server file download including:

- d3) determining if the server with the fastest connection speed had an error before the file was downloaded (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9); and
- d4) when the server with the fastest connection speed had an error before the file was downloaded, selecting a second server (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9).
 - d5) determining a last byte received (col. 2, lines 53-67); and
- d6) transmitting download starting from a next byte command to a second server (col. 2, lines 53-67).

Given the teaching of Feigenbaum, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dutta by including a plurality of downloading systems allowing a client to select a download system in order to transfer different portions of a file in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim 32, Dutta discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Dutta does not explicitly disclose wherein the step (d) further includes the steps of:

d1) when an available bandwidth is not less than a two times a connection speed, selecting a plurality of servers from the list of servers; and

Art Unit: 2157

d2) starting a plurality of simultaneous downloads from the plurality of servers.

In an analogous art, Feigenbaum discloses a multi-server file download including:

- d1) when an available bandwidth is not less than a two times a connection speed, selecting a plurality of servers from the list of servers (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9); and
- d2) starting a plurality of simultaneous downloads from the plurality of servers (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9).

Given the teaching of Feigenbaum, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dutta by including a plurality of downloading systems allowing a client to select a download system in order to transfer different portions of a file in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim 33, Dutta disclose the invention substantially as claims discussed above. However, Dutta does not explicitly disclose the steps of:

- d3) determining if the client has received a complete version of the file from one of the plurality of servers;
- d4) when the client has received a complete version of the file from one of the plurality of servers, terminating a rest of the downloads.

In an analogous art, Feigenbaum discloses a multi-server file download including:

d3) determining if the client has received a complete version of the file from one of the plurality of servers (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9); and

Art Unit: 2157

d4) when the client has received a complete version of the file from one of the plurality of servers, terminating a rest of the downloads (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9).

Given the teaching of Feigenbaum, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dutta by including a plurality of downloading systems allowing a client to select a download system in order to transfer different portions of a file in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim 34, Dutta discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above. However, Dutta does not explicitly disclose the steps of:

- d1) when an available bandwidth is not less than a two times a connection speed, selecting a plurality of servers from the list of servers; and
 - d2) starting a plurality of simultaneous offset downloads from the plurality of servers. In an analogous art, Feigenbaum discloses a multi-server file download including:
- d1) when an available bandwidth is not less than a two times a connection speed, selecting a plurality of servers from the list of servers (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9); and
- d2) starting a plurality of simultaneous offset downloads from the plurality of servers (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9).

Given the teaching of Feigenbaum, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dutta by including a plurality of

Art Unit: 2157

downloading systems allowing a client to select a download system in order to transfer different portions of a file in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim 35, Dutta disclose the invention substantially as claims discussed above. However, Dutta does not explicitly disclose the step of:

d3) when a complete file can be formed from the plurality of simultaneous offset downloads, constructing a complete file.

In an analogous art, Feigenbaum discloses a multi-server file download including:

d3) when a complete file can be formed from the plurality of simultaneous offset downloads, constructing a complete file (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9).

Given the teaching of Feigenbaum, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dutta by including a plurality of downloading systems allowing a client to select a download system in order to transfer different portions of a file in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim 36, Dutta discloses a method of operating a peer-to-peer network comprising the steps of:

- a) initiating a search from a first peer to the peer-to-peer network (abstract, paragraphs 0007, 0037 and 0044-0045);
 - b) receiving a list of peer servers, a plurality of associated file names, a plurality of file sizes, a plurality of bandwidths and a plurality of source nodes that meet a search query (paragraphs 0037, and 0044-0045);

Art Unit: 2157

c) determining a connection speed to each of the peer servers on the list of peer servers (paragraph 0035);

d) selecting a subset of the list of peer servers based on the connection speed (paragraph 0035);

However, Dutta does not explicitly disclose:

- e) when an available bandwidth is less than a two times the connection speed, selecting a server with a fastest connection speed;
- f) starting a download from the server with the fastest connection speed;
- g) determining if the server with the fastest connection speed had an error before the file was downloaded;
- h) when the server with the fastest connection speed had an error before the file was downloaded, selecting a second server;
- i) determining a last byte received;
- j) transmitting a download starting from a next byte command to a second server;
- k) when an available bandwidth is not less than a two times a connection speed, selecting a plurality of servers from the list of servers;
- 1) starting a plurality of simultaneous downloads from the plurality of servers;
- m) determining if the client has received a complete version of the file from one of the plurality of servers; and
- n) when the client has received a complete version of the file from one of the plurality of servers, terminating a rest of the downloads.

In an analogous art, Feigenbaum discloses a multi-server file download including:

Art Unit: 2157

e) when an available bandwidth is less than a two times the connection speed, selecting a server with a fastest connection speed (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9);

Page 20

- f) starting a download from the server with the fastest connection speed (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9);
 - g) determining if the server with the fastest connection speed had an error before the file was downloaded (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9);
 - h) when the server with the fastest connection speed had an error before the file was downloaded, selecting a second server (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9);
 - i) determining a last byte received (col. 2, lines 53-67);
- j) transmitting a download starting from a next byte command to a second server (col. 2, lines 53-67);
 - k) when an available bandwidth is not less than a two times a connection speed, selecting a plurality of servers from the list of servers (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9);
- 1) starting a plurality of simultaneous downloads from the plurality of servers (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9);
 - m) determining if the client has received a complete version of the file from one of the plurality of servers (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9); and

Art Unit: 2157

n) when the client has received a complete version of the file from one of the plurality of servers, terminating a rest of the downloads (col. 3, lines 22-38, lines 56-67 and col. 4, lines 1-9).

Given the teaching of Feigenbaum, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dutta by including a plurality of downloading systems allowing a client to select a download system in order to transfer different portions of a file in a timely and efficient manner.

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0073075 to Dutta et al
 - U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0138471 to Dutta et al
 - U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0138744 to Schleicher et al
 - U.S. Pat. No. 6,049,892 to Casagrande et al

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaShonda T Jacobs whose telephone number is 703-305-7494. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 A.M.-5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 703-308-7562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2157

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LaShonda T Jacobs Examiner Art Unit 2157 Page 22

ltj September 17, 2004

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100